

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/803,318	03/18/2004	Donna Sue Davis	2003B101A	5374
ExxonMobil C	7590 07/14/200 Themical Company	8	EXAM	IINER
Law Technology			PATTERSON, MARC A	
P.O. Box 2149 Baytown, TX			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/14/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

 Application No.
 Applicant(s)

 10/803,318
 DAVIS ET AL.

 Examiner
 Art Unit

 MARC A PATTERSON
 1794

interview Summary			
,	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MARC A. PATTERSON	1794	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) Marc Patterson.	(3)		
(2) <u>Kevin Faulkner</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 11 June 2008.			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2) applicant's representative	e]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: all of the record.			
Identification of prior art discussed: all of the record.			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>The claimed invention vs language of the invention distinguishes over the prior art of prior art of record.</u> (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	the prior art of record, specific record and amendments which ments which the examiner agopy of the amendments that w	cally whether the ch may distinguis reed would rende	e <u>claimed</u> sh over the er the claims
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INT FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE GUILD REPLY REPLY REPLY OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW DATE OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW DATE OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW DATE OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE SU	last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	been filed, APP OAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS	LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO
	/Marc A Patterson/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 17 Examiner's signature, if requi		

Attachment to a signed Office action.

U.S. Peters and Tacemark Office
PTOL-413 (Rev. 0-403) Interview Summary
Paper No. 20080710